

CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

Date: 3/26/13 Case No: 1:10cv01007 Commenced: 10:00-11:30
AM Break: 11:45-12:00
To Jury: 1:45
Before the Honorable: Claude M. Hilton Jury Returned: 3:45
Court Reporters: Linnell Ended: 3:55

01 Communique Laboratory, Inc. v. LogMeIn, Inc.

Counsel for Plaintiff:	Counsel for Defendant:
John Corrado Neal Seth Katherine McKnight William DeVinney Marc Antonetti Thomas Shunk Laura Alaverdi	Vinita Ferrera Charles Molster Wayne Stoner

THIS MATTER CAME ON FOR FURTHER TRIAL BY JURY. APPEARANCE OF PARTIES AND COUNSEL.

Court indicated that the question of laches, inequitable conduct, and equitable estoppel will be ruled on by the Court.

Jury verdict form addressed with counsel. Counsel to make corrections and submit a revised version.

Closing arguments heard

The Court charged the jury and the jury retired to deliberate (@ 12:45)

The jury had a question re: the Court's definition of a location facility. Definition of location facility read to jury and the jury returned to continue deliberations.

The jury returned to the courtroom (@ 3:45) w/a verdict as follows: Question 1 - Re: Infringement by Original LogMeIn Architecture - No infringement of claim 24 of the '479 patent, in favor of the defendant, LogMeIn; Question 2 – Re: Infringement by Modified LogMeIn Architecture – No infringement of claim 24 of the '479 patent, in favor of the defendant, LogMeIn. Question 4: Re: validity - finding validity of claim 24 of the '479 patent, in favor of the Plaintiff, 01 Communique.

Post-trial motions to be filed within 28 days.